UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,287	12/12/2001	Michael Black	23920-10632/US	1768
66401 RELIANT / FE	7590 08/27/2007 NWICK	EXAMINER		
c/o FENWICK & WEST, LLP 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			SHAY, DAVID M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercisions of them may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled or the many by the control of the provision of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled on 1 to 2 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply a			ΥΥ				
## Examiner Sart Unit 3735 "The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of there may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(b), In no event, Involve, Involvent in the provision of 17 CFR 1.73(b), In no event, Involvent, may a reply be primely filled. If 18 O seried reply a specific above, the manimal faultory primely all appears and will express (30) (MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the self or storded princip for reply will, by statular, cause the application is become ADA/NONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). And the maining date of this communication, event of street, filled them advantaged patent from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). ***The Responsive to communication (s) filed on June 29, 2007.** 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** A) Of the above claim(s) is a fair allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Nati		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of terminary be available under the processor 35 CFR 1.136(b), in or event, lowever, may a steply to the provided of the processor of the provided of the prov	Office Action Comment	10/017,287	BLACK, MICHAEL				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of their may be evaluate under the provision of 37 CF1 11360, in no event, nower, may a reply be timely filled. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum stations protect will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Fault or length within the soft creating plant of the reply will, by statiots, cause the application to communication. Fault or length within the soft creating date of this communication, even if threely filled, may reduce any certification time disjutance. Set of CFR 1,7461, and the maximum stations protected by the communication of the maximum stations protected by the communication of the maximum station is filled to a full plant of this communication. Even if threely filled, may reduce any certification in a coordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1, 2, 6-12, 19-23, 25-29, 31-34, 41, 43-48, 53, 55, 56, 69, and 71-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers of the priority documents have been received in Application No. is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to See 37 C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If No period to reply is specified between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If No period to reply is specified between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.738(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the common that the provision of the common that the maining date of this common that the provision of the common that the maining date of this common that the provision of the common that the provision that the p							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elatinistics of time may be available under the proximation of 37 CFR 1130(b). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed after SIX (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 47 CFR 1130(b). In no event, however, may a regly be timely filed after SIX (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 47 CFR 1130(b). The mailing date of this communication. 47 CFR 1130(b). 47 regly received by the Office later than time emonths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searced patter than time emonths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searced patter than significant the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searced patter than significant the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searced pattern than 30 closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 2.6.12.19-23.25-29.31-34.41, 43-48.53.55.56.69. and 71-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.35(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections is required if the drawing(s) objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.321(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) ☐ Corples of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	NATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2, 6-12, 19-23, 25-29, 31-34, 41, 43-48, 53, 55, 56, 69, and 71-84 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	e 29, 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
4)	*						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		171-84 is/are pending in the application.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 6-12, 19-23, 25-29, 31-34, 41, 4	13-48, 53, 55, 56, 69, and	<u>l 71-84</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers 9	· — · · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	/ · · · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		n priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Justine Copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	• •	•	ot received				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a no	t of the sertines sepice in					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application				

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 6-12, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 31-34, 43, 45-48, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Black et al ('740) in combination with Sugiyama et al and Hu. Black et al ('740) teach a device and method as claimed, including selection of a treatment plan e.g. irradiating the tissue with a given wavelength on the basis of it's color, but does not discuss the source of the different laser wavelengths applied all at once. Sugiyama et al teach that multiple lasers can be used to produce simultaneous beams of differing frequencies. Hu teach the scanning of a laser in 3 dimensions to treat cutaneous vascular lesions with small focal spots. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the applicator of Black et al ('740) in the apparatus and method of Sugiyama et al, since this will direct all the laser wavelengths to the same spot, and enables the use of smaller spot sizes, as taught by Black et al (740) or to employ the device and method of Sugiyama et al in the device and method of Black et al ('740) since Black et al ('740) give no structure to apply different beams all at once, to employ different pulse widths, since this is not critical; is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and will provide the required power variation to deposit the correct amount of energy at different depths, and to employ a semiconductor diode laser, since this is not critical; is a recognized equivalent to other lasers, is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and would consume less power than gas, crystal, or liquid lasers, and in either case to employ the method on cutaneous vascular lesions, which requires different three dimensional

Application/Control Number: 10/017,287

Art Unit: 3735

delivery patterns, as taught by Hu, and which would necessarily produce different spot sizes at

Page 3

the surface, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8-12, 25, 26, 28, 31-34, 43, 45-48, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dumoulin – White et al in

combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu. Dumoulin – White et al teach a device such as

claimed except the use of a mirror device, the spot size, and scanning the beam. Black et al

('740) teach the use of a mirror based focusing device that produces the claimed spot size and

selection of a treatment plan e.g. irradiating the tissue with a given wavelength on the basis of

it's color. Hu teach the scanning of a laser in 3 dimensions to treat cutaneous vascular lesions

with small focal spots. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the

device and method of Black et al ('740) in the device and method of Dumoulin – White et al,

since this would locate the various wavelength of laser light at the same point, or to provide the

device and method of Dumoulin – White et al in the device and method of Black et al ('740),

since the device and method of Black et al ('740) requires no particular laser, and in either case

to employ the method on cutaneous vascular lesions, which requires different three dimensional

delivery patterns, as taught by Hu, and which would necessarily produce different spot sizes at

the surface, and to employ different pulse widths, since this is not critical; is well within the skill

of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and will provide the

required power variation to deposit the correct amount of energy at different depths, thus

producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg in combination with

Black et al ('740) and Hu. Freiberg teaches device on claimed except the mirror-based device, the spot size, and scanning. Black et al ('740) teach the use of a mirror-based device as claimed which can be scanned and selection of a treatment plan e.g. irradiating the tissue with a given wavelength on the basis of it's color. Hu teach the scanning of a laser in 3 dimensions to treat cutaneous vascular lesions with small focal spots. It would have been obvious to the artisan if ordinary skill to employ the device and method of Black et al ('740) in the device and method of Freiberg, since this would effectively combine the different wavelengths and project them at the same spot, as taught by Black et al ('740) or to employ the sources and beam combiners of Freiberg in the device of Black et al ('740) since Black et al ('740) describes no structure for applying different color beams all at once, to employ different pulse widths, since this is not critical; is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and will provide the required power variation to deposit the correct amount of energy at different depths, and to employ a semiconductor diode laser, since this is not critical; is a recognized equivalent to other lasers, is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and would consume less power than gas, crystal, or liquid lasers, and in either case to employ the method on cutaneous vascular lesions, which requires different three dimensional delivery patterns, as taught by Hu, and which would necessarily produce different spot sizes at the surface, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 1, 28, 29, 31, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg in combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 above, and further in view of Black et al ('936). Black et al ('936) teach the use of a micromanipulator as an input for an

endoscope. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the micromanipulator of Black et al ('936) in the device of Freiberg, since this is an appropriate control device for an endoscope, as taught by Black et al ('936) or to include the beam combiner of Freiberg in the device of Black et ('936), since this allows multiple treatments with a single instrument as taught by Freiberg and to construct the device in the claimed dimensions, since this is not critical, thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Claims 20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg a combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 above, and further in view of Dew. Dew teaches removing optical components from the optical path by rendering the location that the optical component resides in no longer a part of the optical path. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the optical path combining device of Dew in the device of Freiberg, since Freiberg discloses no particular mechanism to accomplish the superposition of beams prior to reaching any steering device, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Claims 27, 44, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg as combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 above, and further in view of Kittrell et al. Kittrell et al teach an apparatus for and method of the use of fluorescence maps for diagnosing tissue to locate tissue that is suitable for removal. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the diagnostic system of Kittrell et al in the system of Freiberg since this can locate the tissue requiring treatment and prevent the treatment of healthy tissue as taught by Kittrell et al or to include the multiple laser system of Freiberg in the device of Kittrell

et al, since this would allow treatment of both hard and soft tissue, as taught by Freiberg, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Claim 75 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg a combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 above, and further in view of Garcia. Garcia teaches the use of treatment plan databases. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the database of Garcia in the device of Freiberg, since this would vastly simplify the input of the treatment by the physician, since only the particular plan need be indicated, rather then entering multiple parameters for multiple lasers and conditions, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Claims 82 and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freiberg a combination with Black et al ('740) and Hu as applied to claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10-12, 19, 25, 26, 31-34, 41, 43, 45-48, 53, 55, 69, 71-74, 76-80, and 83 above, and further in view of Black et al ('509). Black et al ('509) teaches the use of carbon dioxide and argon lasers. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the lasers of Black et al ('509) in the device of Freiberg, since this would allow immediate coagulation after making an incision, as taught by Black et al ('509), thus producing a device such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6-12, 19-23, 25-29, 31-34, 41, 43-48, 53, 55, 56, 69, 71-84 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/017,287

Art Unit: 3735

Page 8

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330